IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR. D.S.R. VARMA
And
THE HON'BLE MR. P.SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO. 11506 OF 2006

Dated: 19th June, 2006

Between:

Smt. Mediboina Ramanadham

Petitioner

AND

The Station House Officer,
Bommuru Police Station,
East Godavari District and another

Respondents

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R. VARMA

AND

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

W.P. NO. 11506 OF 2006

ORDER:

(*Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R. Varma)*

Smt. Mediboina Ramanadham wife of Mr. Narasimha Murthy, Shantipuram village, Rajahmundry, East Godavari District, addressed a letter dated 25.5.2006 to the High Court seeking production of her son namely Mediboina Satyanarana, before this court.

It is averred in her complaint that there were some misunderstandings between her son and her daughter-in-law by name Smt. Radhika and they were frequently quarelling. On 12.10.2005 the missing person Mr. M.Satyanarayana went out saying that he will return after a short while, but did not return. Thorough search by the complainant along with her relatives proved futile and could not trace the missing person. It is further stated that a report was lodged in Bommuru Police Station, East Godavari District, upon which the police registered a case in Cr. No. 289 of 2005.

Replying to the said writ petition, the Sub-Inspector of Police, Bommuru Police Station, filed elaborate counter affidavit stating that there were certain disputes between the missing person and his wife with regard to setting up of the family at Srikakulam, due to which she left the company of the missing person and filed complaint before Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Srikakulam on 6.3.2006 and the learned Magistrate, forwarded the same to the II

Town Police Station, Srikakulam, in exercise of powers under Sec. 156(3) Cr. P.C. and the police registered a case in Cr. No.85 of 2006 for the offences punishable under Secs. 418, 419, 420, 494, 496, 498-A, 500 and 506(2) IPC.

In view of the said facts, particularly in view of the fact that the missing person is wanted by the police for investigation in Cr. No. 85 of 2006 of II Town PS Srikakulam, no further action need be taken nor any direction can be issued by this court.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, it appears that the complainant lodged the complaint before the police about missing of her son only but not with regard to the internal disputes between her son and his wife.

In view of these revelations in the counter affidavit filed by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Bommuru Police Station, we do not see any reason to entertain the writ petition.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

D.S.R. Varma, J.

P.Swaroop Reddy, J.

June 19, 2006
MAS.